

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY
BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

THE TARIFF FILINGS OF GTE SOUTH)
INCORPORATED TO INTRODUCE)
COLLOCATION SERVICE TO THE FACILITIES) CASE NO. 2000-262
FOR INTRASTATE ACCESS TARIFF)
)

DATA REQUESTS OF COMMISSION STAFF

It is requested, pursuant to 807 KAR 5:001, that GTE South Incorporated ("GTE South") file the original and 10 copies of the following information with the Commission no later than June 30, 2000:

1. Explain in detail why inclusion of the collocation rate in the access tariff would not preclude the interconnection agreement negotiation of these rates between the companies and competitors.
2. Describe the advantages to GTE South of being allowed to include the collocation rates in its access tariffs.
3. Are there other states in which GTE South has filed these rates in its access tariff? If so, what states?
4. Provide copies of the Orders or other evidence of approval from the commissions that have allowed these rates to be included in the access tariffs.
5. In GTE South's opinion, are there disadvantages to competitive local exchange carriers as a result of including these rates in access tariffs?

6. Are these rates the same as the rates approved by the Commission in GTE South's arbitrations in Kentucky? If not, why not?

7. Are the collocation rates based on TELRIC studies? If not, on what are they based?

8. If the rates were developed using a cost model, provide a copy of the inputs and outputs used in developing the interconnections rates.

9. If a cost model was not used, explain in detail how the rates were developed.



Martin J. Huelsmann
Executive Director
Public Service Commission
211 Sower Boulevard
P. O. Box 615
Frankfort, Kentucky 40602

Dated: June 12, 2000

cc: All Parties